

RESTRICTED ALGEBRAS ON INVERSE SEMIGROUPS II, POSITIVE DEFINITE FUNCTIONS

MASSOUD AMINI, ALIREZA MEDGHALCHI

ABSTRACT. The relation between representations and positive definite functions is a key concept in harmonic analysis on topological groups. Recently this relation has been studied on topological groupoids. This is the second in a series of papers in which we have investigated the concept of "restricted" positive definite functions and their relation with representations.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In [1] we introduced the concept of restricted representations for an inverse semigroup S and studied the restricted forms of some important Banach algebras on S . In this paper, we continue our study by considering the relation between the restricted positive definite functions and restricted representations. In particular, we prove restricted versions of the Godement's characterization of the positive definite functions of finite support (Theorem 2.1). These results are used in a forthcoming paper to study the restricted forms of the Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras on an inverse semigroup S [2].

All over this paper, S denotes a unital inverse semigroup with identity 1. Let us remind that an inverse semigroup S is a discrete semigroup such that for each $s \in S$ there is a unique element $s^* \in S$ such that

$$ss^*s = s, \quad s^*ss^* = s^*.$$

The set E of idempotents of S consists of elements the form ss^* , $s \in S$. E is a commutative sub semigroup of S . There is a natural order $\leq$ on E defined by $e \leq f$ if and only if $ef = e$. A $*$ -representation of S is a pair $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi\}$ consisting of a (possibly infinite dimensional) Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ and a map $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A35, 43A20.

Key words and phrases. Fourier algebra, inverse semigroups, restricted semigroup algebra.

This research was supported by Grant 510-2090 of Shahid Behshti University.

satisfying

$$\pi(xy) = \pi(x)\pi(y), \quad \pi(x^*) = \pi(x)^* \quad (x, y \in S),$$

that is a $*$ -semigroup homomorphism from S into the inverse semigroup of partial isometries on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. We loosely refer to π as the representation and it should be understood that there is always a Hilbert space coming with π . Let $\Sigma = \Sigma(S)$ be the family of all $*$ -representations π of S with

$$\|\pi\| := \sup_{x \in S} \|\pi(x)\| \leq 1.$$

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\ell^p(S)$ is the Banach space of all complex valued functions f on S satisfying

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\sum_{x \in S} |f(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

For $p = \infty$, $\ell^\infty(S)$ consists of those f with $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in S} |f(x)| < \infty$. Recall that $\ell^1(S)$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the product

$$(f * g)(x) = \sum_{st=x} f(s)g(t) \quad (f, g \in \ell^1(S)),$$

and $\ell^2(S)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in S} f(x) \overline{g(x)} \quad (f, g \in \ell^2(S)).$$

Let also put

$$\check{f}(x) = f(x^*), \quad \tilde{f}(x) = \overline{f(x^*)},$$

for each $f \in \ell^p(S)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$).

As in [1] let us introduce the associated groupoid of an inverse semigroup S . Given $x, y \in S$, the **restricted product** of x, y is xy if $x^*x = yy^*$, and undefined, otherwise. The set S with its restricted product forms a groupoid, which is called the **associated groupoid** of S and we denote it by S_a . If we adjoin a zero element 0 to this groupoid, and put $0^* = 0$, we get an inverse semigroup S_r with the multiplication rule

$$x \bullet y = \begin{cases} xy & \text{if } x^*x = yy^* \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in S \cup \{0\}),$$

which is called the **restricted semigroup** of S . A **restricted representation** $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi\}$ of S is a map $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ such that $\pi(x^*) = \pi(x)^*$ ($x \in S$) and

$$\pi(x)\pi(y) = \begin{cases} \pi(xy) & \text{if } x^*x = yy^* \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in S).$$

Let $\Sigma_r = \Sigma_r(S)$ be the family of all restricted representations π of S with $\|\pi\| = \sup_{x \in S} \|\pi(x)\| \leq 1$. It is not hard to guess that $\Sigma_r(S)$ should be related to $\Sigma(S_r)$. Let $\Sigma_0(S_r)$ be the set of all $\pi \in \Sigma(S_r)$ with $\pi(0) = 0$. Note that $\Sigma_0(S_r)$ contains all cyclic representations of S_r . Now it is clear that, via a canonical identification, $\Sigma_r(S) = \Sigma_0(S_r)$. Two basic examples of restricted representations are the restricted left and right regular representations λ_r and ρ_r of S [1]. For each $\xi, \eta \in \ell^1(S)$ put

$$(\xi \bullet \eta)(x) = \sum_{x^*x = yy^*} \xi(xy)\eta(y^*) \quad (x \in S),$$

then $(\ell^1(S), \bullet, ^\sim)$ is a semisimple Banach $*$ -algebra [1] which is denoted by $\ell_r^1(S)$ and is called the restricted semigroup algebra of S .

2. REDUCED POSITIVE DEFINITE FUNCTIONS

A bounded complex valued function $u : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called **positive definite** if for all positive integers n and all $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j u(x_i^* x_j) \geq 0,$$

and it is called **restricted positive definite** if for all positive integers n and all $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j (\lambda_r(x_i)u)(x_j) \geq 0.$$

We denote the set of all positive definite and restricted positive definite functions on S by $P(S)$ and $P_r(S)$, respectively. The two concepts coincide for (discrete) groups.

It is natural to expect a relation between $P_r(S)$ and $P(S_r)$. Before checking this, note that S_r is hardly ever unital. This is important, as the positive definite functions in non unital case should be treated with extra care [5]. Let us take any inverse semigroup T with possibly no unit. Of course, one can always adjoin a unit 1 to T with $1^* = 1$ to get a unital inverse semigroup $T^1 = T \cup \{1\}$ (if T happened to have a unit we put $T^1 = T$). However, positive definite functions on T do not

necessarily extend to positive definite functions on T^1 . Following [5], we consider the subset $P_e(T)$ of **extendible positive definite** functions on T which are those $u \in P(T)$ such that $u = \tilde{u}$ and there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in T$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u(x_i) \right|^2 \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j u(x_i^* x_j).$$

If $\tau : \ell^\infty(T) \rightarrow \ell^1(T)^*$ is the canonical isomorphism, then τ maps $P_e(T)$ onto the set of extendible positive bounded linear functionals on $\ell^1(T)$ (those which are extendible to a positive bounded linear functional on $\ell^1(T^1)$) and the restriction of τ to $P_e(T)$ is an isometric affine isomorphism of convex cones [5, 1.1]. Also the linear span $B_e(T)$ of $P_e(T)$ is an algebra [5, 3.4] which coincides with the set of coefficient functions of $*$ -representations of T [5, 3.2]. If T has a zero element, then so is T^1 . In this case, we put $P_0(T) = \{u \in P(T) : u(0) = 0\}$ and $P_{0,e}(T) = P_0(T) \cap P_e(T)$. To each $u \in P_e(T)$, there corresponds a cyclic $*$ -representation of $\ell^1(T^1)$ which restricts to a cyclic representation of T (see the proof of [5, 3.2]). Let ω be the direct sum of all cyclic representations of T obtained in this way, then the set of all coefficient functions of ω is the linear span of $P_e(T)$ [5, 3.2]. We call ω the **universal representation** of T .

All these arrangements are for $T = S_r$, as it is an inverse 0-semigroup which is not unital unless S is a group. We remind the reader that our blanket assumption is that S is a unital inverse semigroup. From now on, we also assume that S has no zero element (see Example 2.1).

Lemma 2.1. *The restriction map $\tau : P_0(S_r) \rightarrow P_r(S)$ is an affine isomorphism of convex cones.*

Proof Let $u \in P(S_r)$. For each $n \geq 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S_r$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j u(x_i^* \bullet x_j) = \sum_{x_i x_i^* = x_j x_j^*} \bar{c}_i c_j u(x_i^* x_j) + u(0) \left(\sum_{x_i x_i^* \neq x_j x_j^*} \bar{c}_i c_j \right),$$

in particular if $u \in P_0(S_r)$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j u(x_i^* \bullet x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j (\lambda_r(x_i) u)(x_j),$$

so τ maps $P_0(S_r)$ into $P_r(S)$.

τ is clearly an injective affine map. Also if $u \in P_r(S)$ and v is extension by zero of u on S_r , then from above calculation applied to v , $v \in P_0(S_r)$ and $\tau(v) = u$, so τ is surjective. $\square$

It is important to note that the restriction map τ may fail to be surjective when S already has a zero element.

Example 5.1. If $S = [0, 1]$ with discrete topology and operations

$$xy = \max\{x, y\}, x^* = x \quad (0 \leq x, y \leq 1).$$

Then S is a zero inverse semigroup with identity. Here $S_r = S$, as sets, $P(S) = \{u : u \geq 0, u \text{ is decreasing}\} [3]$, but the constant function $u = 1$ is in $P_r(S)$. This in particular shows that the map τ is not necessarily surjective, if S happens to have a zero element. To show that $1 \in P_r(S)$ note that for each $n \geq 1$, each $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, and each $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$, if $y_1, \dots, y_k$ are distinct elements in $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, then for $J_l := \{j : 1 \leq j \leq n, x_j = y_l\}$, we have $J_i = J_l$, whenever $i \in J_l$, for each $1 \leq i, l \leq k$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j \lambda_r(x_i) 1(x_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{c}_i \left(\sum_{x_j=x_i} c_j \right) = \sum_{l=1}^k \left(\sum_{i \in J_l} \bar{c}_i \left(\sum_{j \in J_i} c_j \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^k \left(\sum_{i \in J_l} \bar{c}_i \left(\sum_{j \in J_l} c_j \right) \right) = \sum_{l=1}^k \left| \sum_{i \in J_l} c_i \right|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Notation 2.1. Let $P_{0,e}(S_r)$ be the set of all extendible elements of $P_0(S_r)$. This is a subcone which is mapped isomorphically onto a subcone $P_{r,e}(S)$ by τ . The elements of $P_{r,e}(S)$ are called **extendible restricted positive definite functions** on S . These are exactly those $u \in P_r(S)$ such that $u = \tilde{u}$ and there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u(x_i) \right|^2 \leq c \sum_{x_i x_i^* = x_j x_j^*} \bar{c}_i c_j u(x_i^* x_j).$$

Proposition 2.1. There is an affine isomorphism τ of convex cones from $P_{r,e}(S)$ onto

$$\ell_r^1(S)_+^* \simeq (\mathbb{C}\delta_0)_+^\perp = \{f \in \ell^\infty(S)_+ : f(0) = 0\} =: \ell_0^\infty(S)_+.$$

Proof The affine isomorphism $\ell_r^1(S)_+^* \simeq \ell_0^\infty(S)_+$ is just the restriction of the linear isomorphism of [1, Proposition 4.1] to the corresponding positive cones. Let us denote this by τ_3 . In Notation 2.1 we presented an affine isomorphism τ_2 from

$P_{e,e}(S_r)$ onto $P_{r,e}(S)$. Finally [5, 1.1], applied to S_r , gives an affine isomorphism from $P_e(S_r)$ onto $\ell^\infty(S_r)_+$, whose restriction is an affine isomorphism τ_1 from $P_{0,e}(S_r)$ onto $\ell_0^\infty(S_r)_+$. Now the obvious map τ , which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{0,e}(S_r) & \xrightarrow{\tau_1} & \ell_0^\infty(S_r)_+ \\ \tau_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_3 \\ P_{r,e}(S) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \ell_r^1(S)_+^* \end{array}$$

commutative, is the desired affine isomorphism. $\square$

In [3] the authors developed harmonic analysis on topological foundation $*$ -semigroups (which include all inverse semigroups) and in particular studied positive definite functions on them. Our aim in this section is to develop a parallel theory for the restricted case, and among other results prove the generalization of the Gode-ment's characterization of positive definite functions on groups [4] in our restricted context (Theorem 2.1).

For $F, G \subseteq S$, put

$$F \bullet G = \{st : s \in F, t \in G, s^*s = tt^*\}.$$

This is clearly a finite set, when F and G are finite.

Lemma 2.2. *If S is an inverse semigroup and $f, g \in \ell^2(S)$, then $\text{supp}(f \bullet \tilde{g}) = (\text{supp } f) \bullet (\text{supp } g)^*$. In particular, when f and g are of finite supports, then so is $f \bullet \tilde{g}$.*

Proof $f \bullet \tilde{g}(x) = \sum_{x^*x=yy^*} f(xy)\overline{g(y)} \neq 0$ if and only if $xy \in \text{supp}(f)$, for some $y \in \text{supp}(g)$ with $x^*x = yy^*$. This is clearly the case if and only if $x = st^*$, for some $s \in \text{supp}(f)$ and $t \in \text{supp}(g)$ with $s^*s = t^*t$. Hence $\text{supp}(f \bullet \tilde{g}) = (\text{supp } f) \bullet (\text{supp } g)^*$. $\square$

The following lemma follows from the fact that the product $f \bullet g$ is linear in each variable.

Lemma 2.3. *(Polarization Identity) For each $f, g \in \ell^2(S)$*

$$\begin{aligned} 4f \bullet \tilde{g} &= (f + g) \bullet (f + g)^\sim - (f - g) \bullet (f - g)^\sim \\ &\quad + i(f + ig) \bullet (f + ig)^\sim - i(f - ig) \bullet (f - ig)^\sim, \end{aligned}$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *For each $\varphi \in P_{r,f}(S)$, we have $\tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi) \geq 0$.*

Proof For each $x, y \in S$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)\delta_x, \delta_y \rangle &= \sum_z \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)\delta_x(z) \overline{\delta_y(z)} = \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)\delta_x(y) = \sum_z \varphi(z)\rho_r(z)\delta_x(y) \\ &= \sum_{zz^*=y^*y} \varphi(z)\delta_x(yz). \end{aligned}$$

Now if $xx^* = yy^*$ then for $z = y^*x$ we have $zz^* = y^*xx^*y = y^*y$ and conversely $zz^* = y^*y$ and $x = yz$ imply that $z = zz^*z = y^*yz = y^*x$, and then $x = yy^*x$ and $xz^* = y$, so $y = xz^* = xx^*y$, that is $yy^* = xx^*yy^* = yy^*xx^* = xx^*$. Hence the last sum is $\varphi(y^*x)$ if $xx^* = yy^*$, and it is zero, otherwise. Summing up,

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)\delta_x, \delta_y \rangle = (\lambda_r(y)\varphi)(x).$$

Now for $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \delta_{x_i} \in \ell_f^2(S)$, we get

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)\xi, \xi \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i \bar{a}_j \langle \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)\delta_{x_i}, \delta_{x_j} \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i \bar{a}_j (\lambda_r(x_j)\varphi)(x_i) \geq 0.$$

□

Lemma 2.5. *With above notation,*

$$\tilde{\lambda}_r(f)\tilde{\rho}_r(g) = \tilde{\rho}_r(g)\tilde{\lambda}_r(f),$$

for each $f, g \in \ell^1(S)$.

Proof Given $f, g \in \ell^1(S)$ and $\xi \in \ell^2(S)$, put $\eta = \tilde{\rho}_r(g)\xi$ and $\zeta = \tilde{\lambda}_r(f)\xi$, then $\eta, \zeta \in \ell^2(S)$ and for each $x \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}_r(f)\tilde{\rho}_r(g)\xi(x) &= \sum_{y \in S} f(y)(\lambda_r(y)\eta)(x) \\ &= \sum_{yy^*=xx^*} f(y)\eta(y^*x) = \sum_{yy^*=xx^*} f(y) \sum_{u \in S} g(u)(\rho_r(u)\xi)(y^*x) \\ &= \sum_{yy^*=xx^*} f(y) \sum_{uu^*=x^*yy^*x} g(u)\xi(y^*xu) \\ &= \sum_{yy^*=xx^*} f(y) \sum_{uu^*=x^*x} g(u)\xi(y^*xu), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\rho}_r(g)\tilde{\lambda}_r(f)\xi(x) &= \sum_{u \in S} g(u)(\rho_r(u)\zeta)(x) = \sum_{uu^*=x^*x} g(u)\zeta(xu) \\
&= \sum_{uu^*=x^*x} g(u) \sum_{y \in S} f(y)(\lambda_r(y)\xi)(xu) \\
&= \sum_{uu^*=x^*x} g(u) \sum_{yy^*=xuu^*x^*} f(y)\xi(y^*xu) \\
&= \sum_{uu^*=x^*x} g(u) \sum_{yy^*=xx^*} f(y)\xi(y^*xu),
\end{aligned}$$

which are obviously the same. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *For each $\pi \in \Sigma_r(S)$ and each $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$, the coefficient function $u = \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi, \xi \rangle$ is in $P_{r,e}(S)$.*

Proof For each $n \geq 1$, $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$, noting that π is a restricted representation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j (\lambda_r(x_i)(u))(x_j) &= \sum_{x_i x_i^* = x_j x_j^*} \bar{c}_i c_j u(x_i^* x_j) \\
&= \sum_{x_i x_i^* = x_j x_j^*} \bar{c}_i c_j \langle \pi(x_i^* x_j) \xi, \xi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j \langle \pi(x_i)^* \pi(x_j) \xi, \xi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j \langle \pi(x_j) \xi, \pi(x_i) \xi \rangle \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \pi(x_i) \xi \right\|_2^2 \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and, regarding π as an element of $\Sigma_0(S_r)$ and using the fact that $u(0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{k=1}^n c_k u(x_k) \right|^2 &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \langle \pi(x_k) \xi, \xi \rangle \right|^2 \\
&\leq \|\xi\|^2 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \pi(x_i) \xi \right\|_2^2 \\
&= \|\xi\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j (\lambda_r(x_i)(u))(x_j) \\
&= \|\xi\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_i c_j (u)(x_i^* \bullet x_j),
\end{aligned}$$

so $u \in P_{0,e}(S_r) = P_{r,e}(S)$. $\square$

The following is proved by R. Godement in the group case [4]. Here we adapt the proof given in [6].

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be a unital inverse semigroup. Given $\varphi \in \ell^\infty(S)$, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\varphi \in P_{r,e}(S)$,
 - (ii) *There is an $\xi \in \ell^2(S)$ such that $\varphi = \xi \bullet \tilde{\xi}$.*
- Moreover if ξ is of finite support, then so is φ .

Proof By above lemma applied to $\pi = \lambda_r$, (ii) implies (i). Also if $\xi \in \ell_f^2(S)$, then by Lemma 2.2, $\xi \bullet \tilde{\xi}$ is of finite support.

Conversely assume that $\varphi \in P_{r,e}(S)$. Choose an approximate identity $\{e_\alpha\}$ for $\ell_r^1(S)$ consisting of positive, symmetric functions of finite support, as constructed in [1, Proposition 3.2]. Let ρ_r be the restricted right regular representation of S , then by above lemma $\tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi) \geq 0$. Take $\xi_\alpha = \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_\alpha \in \ell^2(S)$, then if $1 \in S$ is the identity element, then for each $\alpha \geq \beta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta\|_2^2 &= \langle \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(e_\alpha - e_\beta), \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(e_\alpha - e_\beta) \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)(e_\alpha - e_\beta), e_\alpha - e_\beta \rangle = \varphi \bullet (e_\alpha - e_\beta) \bullet (e_\alpha - e_\beta)(1) \\ &\leq \|\varphi \bullet (e_\alpha - e_\beta) \bullet (e_\alpha - e_\beta)\|_1 = \|\varphi \bullet (e_\alpha - e_\beta)\|_1 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\alpha, \beta \rightarrow \infty$, where the last equality follows from [1, Lemma 3.2 (ii)]. Hence, there is $\xi \in \ell^2(S)$ such that $\xi_\alpha \rightarrow \xi$ in $\ell^2(S)$. Now for each $t \in S$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \bullet \tilde{\xi}(t) &= \langle \lambda_r(t^*)\xi, \xi \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle \lambda_r(t^*)\tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_\alpha, \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_r(t^*) \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_\alpha, e_\alpha \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi) \lambda_r(t^*) e_\alpha, e_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle \lambda_r(t^*) e_\alpha, \tilde{\rho}_r(\varphi) e_\alpha \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} (\bar{\varphi} \bullet (\tilde{e}_\alpha \bullet \lambda_r(t^*) e_\alpha))(1) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} ((\bar{\varphi} \bullet e_\alpha) \bullet \lambda_r(t^*) e_\alpha)(1) = \lim_{\alpha} \sum_y (\bar{\varphi} \bullet e_\alpha)(y) \lambda_r(t^*) e_\alpha(y^*) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \sum_y (\bar{\varphi} \bullet e_\alpha)(y^*) \lambda_r(t^*) e_\alpha(y) = \lim_{\alpha} \sum_y (e_\alpha \bullet \bar{\varphi})(y) \lambda_r(t^*) e_\alpha(y) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle \lambda_r(t^*) e_\alpha, e_\alpha \bullet \bar{\varphi} \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} e_\alpha \bullet (e_\alpha \bullet \bar{\varphi})(t) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} e_\alpha \bullet \bar{\varphi} \bullet e_\alpha(t) = \bar{\varphi}(t). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the remark after Proposition 3.2 of [1] and the fact that $|e_\alpha \bullet \bar{\varphi} \bullet e_\alpha(t) - \bar{\varphi}(t)| \leq \|e_\alpha \bullet \bar{\varphi} \bullet e_\alpha - \bar{\varphi}\|_1$. Hence $\varphi = \bar{\xi} \bullet (\bar{\xi})^\sim$, as required. $\square$

acknowledgement. The first author would like to thank hospitality of Professor Mahmood Khoshkam during his stay in University of Saskatchewan, where the main part of the revision was done.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Amini, A. Medghalchi, restricted algebras on inverse semigroups I , representation theory, preprint, Shahid Beheshti University, 2000.
- [2] M. Amini, A. Medghalchi, restricted algebras on inverse semigroups III, Fourier algebra, preprint, Shahid Beheshti University, 2000.
- [3] M. Amini, A. Medghalchi, Fourier algebras on topological foundation $*$ -semigroups, preprint, Shahid Beheshti University, 2000.
- [4] R. Godement, Les fonctions de type positive et la theorie des groupes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1948) 1-84.
- [5] R.J. Lindahl, P.H. Maserick, Positive-definite functions on involution semigroups, Duke Math. J. 38 (1971) 771-782.
- [6] G. K. Pedersen, C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TARBIAT MODARRES UNIVERSITY, P.O.Box 14115-175,
TEHRAN, IRAN, MAMINI@MODARES.AC.IR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEACHER TRAINING UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN
MEDGHALCHI@SABA.TMU.AC.IR